

Project Plan

SEG2012GP9

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Seabrook, Edward J F | [ejfs1g10@ecs.soton.ac.uk](mailto:ejfs1g10@ecs.soton.ac.uk) |
| Elliott, Kristian | [ke1g10@ ecs.soton.ac.uk](mailto:ke1g10@soton.ac.uk) |
| Yu, Brian | [by2g10@ ecs.soton.ac.uk](mailto:by2g10@soton.ac.uk) |
| Mariani Elola, Oscar N | [onme1g10@ ecs.soton.ac.uk](mailto:onme1g10@soton.ac.uk) |
| Chan, Yun Chak | [ycc1g11@ ecs.soton.ac.uk](mailto:ycc1g11@soton.ac.uk) |

# 0 Meta Pages

## 0.1 Document Control

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Author** | **Changes** |
| 1 | by2g10 | Initial document: title page, table of contents page, document control page and section headings. |
| 2 | ejfs1g10 | Adjusted style to match ‘House Style’ |
| 3 | ejfs1g10 | Added preliminary Gantt chart |
| 4 | by2g10 | Added version control section |
| 5 | onme1g10 | Added risk analysis section |
| 6 | ycc1g11 | Added time budgeting & recording section |
| 7 | ke1g10 | Added work breakdown table |
| 8 | by2g10 | Rewrote version management section of ProjPlan.docx so it fit better with what is required |
| 9 | by2g10 | Added more things I did for the initial document. Added headings to version management section. |
| 10 | ycc1g11 | Updated Time budgeting section |
| 11 | All | Reviewed whole document. Made minor spelling, grammar and formatting changes. Updated the Time and Budgeting table. |

## 0.2 Table of Contents

0 Meta Pages 2

0.1 Document Control 2

0.2 Table of Contents 3

1 Work Breakdown & Allocation 4

1.1 Gantt Chart 4

1.2 Description 5

2 Risk Analysis 6

3 Time Budgeting & Recording 7

3.1 Budgeting 7

3.2 Time recording and management system 8

3.3 Time Expenditure Reporting 8

4 Version management 9

4.1 File and directory naming scheme 9

4.2 Documents 9

4.2.1 Document producer 9

4.3 Summary 9

0.2 Index 10

# 1 Work Breakdown & Allocation

## 1.1 Gantt Chart




Figure 1 – Gantt chart (Generated using smartsheet.com)

## 1.2 Description

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Name** | **Time Estimation** | **Assignment** |
| **1** | **Project Plan** | | |
| 2 | Gantt Chart and Work Breakdown | 6 hours | Edward, Kristian |
| 3 | Risk Analysis | 2 hours | Oscar |
| 4 | Budget | 2 hours | Kelvin |
| 5 | Project Management | 3 hours | Brian |
| 6 | Reviewing | 5 hours | All |
| **7** | **Analysis, Design and Testing** | | |
| 8 | Title, Introduction and Revision History | 3 hours | Kelvin |
| 9 | Description of Approach | 3 hours | Kristian |
| 10 | UML for Requirements | 2 hours | Brian |
| 11 | UML for Design | 5 hours | Edward |
| 12 | Human Computer Interaction | 5 hours | Oscar |
| 13 | Test Plan | 5 hours | Brian |
| 14 | Partial Test Report | 10 hours | Edward, Kristian |
| 15 | Reviewing | 5 hours | All |
| **16** | **Programming** | | |
| 17 | Model | 40 hours | Kelvin, Oscar |
| 18 | View | 60 hours | Edward, Kristian |
| 19 | Controller | 40 hours | Brian, Oscar |
| 20 | Integration | 40 hours | All |
| **21** | **Testing** | | |
| 22 | Unit | 20 hours | All |
| 23 | Integration | 20 hours | All |
| **24 & 28** | **Presentation (x2)** | | |
| 25 & 29 | Discussion and Group Preparation | 20 hours | All |
| 26 & 30 | Prepare Slides | 5 hours | All |
| 27 & 31 | Rehearsal | 5 hours | All |
| **32** | **Final Report** | | |
|  | Tasks to be assigned | 50 hours | All |

We have based the main milestones of our project on the dates of the deliverables, planning to ensure that all the tasks are completed by the hand in dates. For many of the tasks we have set ourselves earlier deadlines to ensure that dependent tasks are able to go ahead as scheduled. In many cases the dependent tasks do not require the full completion of its dependencies; in these cases the tasks have been allowed to continue after their dependencies start.

Table 1 – Task allocation table

We have allocated team members to the individual tasks and have made predictions of the time the tasks will take; we aimed to stay within the budget of 400 hours with our predictions. We have allocated a total of 356 hours in Table 1, this gives us plenty of leeway if we overspend.

# 2 Risk Analysis

| **Risk** | **Probability** | **Impact** | **Strategy** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Wrong time estimation for tasks or stages of the project | High | Delays, unfair distribution of tasks, inaccurate budget calculations, project failure (worst case). | Strict tracking of worked hours and tasks, frequent formal meetings addressing the issue and reevaluation of time estimates at later stages of the project. |
| Failure to identify complex functionalities of the system and time required to develop them | Medium to Low | Delays, inaccurate budget calculations, project failure (worst case). | Very strict and thorough analysis and research of the project at the analysis and design stages. Frequent analysis of the status of the project during formal meetings and constant reevaluation and planning in an iterative manner as in the spiral model of software development. |
| Group member does not contribute as expected | High to Medium | Distribution of tasks would have to change, possible delays, lower quality of product in some areas of the project. | Constant tracking of worked hours by each team member. Document tracking them available widely to the whole team and updates on every weekly formal meeting. In this way problems can be identified and dealt with as soon as they appear. |
| Group member get designated a task that does not match his skills | Medium to Low | Lower quality of the final product. More time taken than needed to perform a task. Delays in the project’s deadlines, possible project failure. | Encouraging honesty in formal meetings. Allowing team members to declare their preferences in terms of task allocation. Engaging in healthy criticism during meetings. Constant support amongst team members. |

Table 2 – Risk Analysis Table

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Team member absent for a period of time because of illness or dropping out | High | Delays, inconsistency of tasks completion date and expected task completion date as planned. Missing deliverables, bigger workload on other team members. | Design a task and time allocation system that allows extra time to solve this sort of issues. Consider this as a highly probable problem and include it in the project plan to avoid bad consequences. |

# 3 Time Budgeting & Recording

## 3.1 Budgeting

When deciding the hours to allocate, we used the following techniques:

* + 1. To obtain an initial estimate on the time spent on each task, we considered the weighting of the deliverable it corresponded to. For example we are in a group of five and each member is expected to spend around 80 hours on the project in total and because the project plan is worth 5% of the overall mark of the project, we will make use of approximately 20 hours out of 400 hours on the project plan.

* + 1. We plan to have a formal meeting every week for at least an hour with our supervisor. We may also conduct some informal meetings in which we shall review what we have done since last formal meeting and resolve any problems that needs solving before the next formal meeting. This should allow us to have a better understanding of our progress.
    2. For many of the tasks we found that assigning around two hours, and then increasing and decreasing the amount of time required based on the nature of the task worked very well for acquiring an estimate.
    3. We allocated the largest proportion of time to the programming and testing sections of the project as these are the fundamental areas of the project.
    4. Before each presentation we shall discuss as a group what we feel need to be in the presentation, we shall then produce some slides for the presentation, and finally we will spend some time rehearsing the presentation.

## 3.2 Time recording and management system

We shall each be responsible for recording the hours we worked in a time sheet in our log books. When we start working on a task we will record it in a table (like Table 3) to make the record clear and to check whether we have overspent the time on a task.

Format of the Table:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Code / No. | Title | Start time | End time | Duration (h\*) | Progress  (IP / F)\* | Subtotal duration  (h\*) | Expected Duration (h / NA)\* | Notes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3 – Time Sheet

Key: h = hours, IP = In Progress, F = Finished, NA = Not Available

We have assigned Kelvin to be the time manager. He will be assigned the responsibilities of monitoring the time spent, collecting, manipulating and maintaining the data, and summarizing the data for the end-of-project report in order to provide a clear image of this information. Statistics such as mean (average) and total time shall be used along with a variety of graphs shall be used to convey the information. During the weekly meetings the time manager shall compile all of the time spent that week by each of the members into a single table that shall be entered into a spread sheet and held in the version control system. Progress of each task will also be updated on the Gantt chart to help visualise the current project progress.

Half-way through and at the end of the project, the time manager will compare the initial time allocation with the actual time spent to ensure we are on track and make any adjustments to the schedule if necessary.

## 3.3 Time Expenditure Reporting

Each team member will have to report their time spent and the tasks they are working on and have finished to the time manager:

* + 1. Show the time spent record in the log book and the effort of the week.
    2. Provide the task code or number and the task name in order to identify the task.
    3. Provide some basic information of the task.
    4. Report the member involved and the time spent for each of them.
    5. Report the starting time, ending time and actual time spent and expected time spent which can be in the form of verbal, words or even graphical format.
    6. Report the progress of the task. (In progress / Finished)
    7. Extra notes for the task. (Delay on finishing a task and the effect on the whole project)
    8. Supervisor will be signing for the record in the log book and time manager will record and accumulate all the data reported by a team member.

# 4 Version management

Version management will be done using an SVN repository provided by the ugForge suite. We will mainly be accessing this using TortoiseSVN. A message will be included with each Commit which will describe the difference between the new version and the previous one and the reason for this change: this will usually start with a verb and using a bullet list with \* denoting a bullet point e.g.

\*Added new /src directory for storing source code for making things more organised.

\*Fixed outOfBoundsException thrown when using large values of x in Calc.java

## 4.1 File and directory naming scheme

In the root directory of the SVN will be two directories named /src[[1]](#footnote-1) and /doc[[2]](#footnote-2). The /src directory stores all files to do with the programming solution including the source code files, graphics for the GUI etc. The /doc directory stores all files to do with the documentation of the project including all documents needed for submission for marking and other relevant files. In addition, the /doc directory will contain several subdirectories named after each submission (e.g. /Project Plan) and will store all relevant files to do with that submission, /Minutes which will store the minutes of each team meeting.

## 4.2 Documents

Every document for submission will include a document control page which outlines the revision history of the document including three pieces of information: version number, the author of this revision and a description of the changes the author(s) have made. This will be presented in a table located between the title page and table of contents.

### 4.2.1 Document producer

Edward is assigned the responsibility of monitoring the folder of each submission for work added by team members; he will incorporate each member’s work into the main document (the one to be submitted) and credit the member’s work in the document control page of the main document.

## 4.3 Summary

Version management using SVN will enable unique, traceable versions of all project elements to be stored and retrieved because all versions of the repository is saved as a ‘diff’ (the differences between to files) of the last version and so retrieving the previous versions is just a matter of locating the revision to be retrieved and calling revert function in TortoiseSVN (the use of commit messages is useful here to help trace the right version to revert to).

Version management using SVN will enable an end-of-project report to report on the amount of change in various project elements – TortoiseSVN can be used to produce reports on the changes made to a file or directory, who made the changes at what time for all files for the project. This powerful feature in TortoiseSVN is used in combination with the tasking and Gantt chart feature of UgForge to enable different ways to show what the changes (to each file or directory(s)) were and what the cost are for each task in terms of who spent how much time on it.

# 0.2 Index

Analysis, Design and Testing, 5

Budgeting, 7

Delays, 6

dependencies, 5

diff, 9

Document producer, 9

Failure, 6

Final Report, 5

Gantt Chart, 4

illness, 6

meeting, 7

milestones, 5

Presentation, 5

Programming, 5

Project Plan, 5

Risk, 6

SVN, 9

Testing, 5

time manager, 8

Time recording, 8

UgForge, 9

Version management, 9

1. Stands for “Source Code” [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Stands for “Documents” [↑](#footnote-ref-2)